

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 2023 1

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATI	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/182	850 01/	/18/94	WEINGARDT	<u> </u>	
				FIERON	MHER
			F3M1/1125		
JOHN EI	WARD ROET	THEL			
3770 HG	WARD HUGH	HES PKWY.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE :	135				
LAS VEG	AS. NV 8	39109		3304	· /
				DATE MAILED:	

11/25/94

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

_	
THE	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
)_ 	extended to run or continues to run 3 to from the date of the final rejection
) 🗆 🖁	xpires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no vent however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
7	ny extension of time must be obtained by filing a polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. he date on which the response, the petition , and the fee have been filied is the date of the response and also the date for the unposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR .17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	ilant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Appii to pla	cant's response to the final rejection, filed 11/7/94/ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed ace the application in condition for allowance:
1. 🔲 T	he proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
6	 There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
t	D. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
•	. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
ď	 They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
,	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
	Opon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🖽 will be entered 🔲 will not be entered and the status of the claims will
	ne as follows:
(Claims allowed:(C 12 - 17
	Claims rejected:
	However;
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. Vincent Millin Primary Examinary
The:	proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
_	•
الات ہے۔ ' ،	" Urawings objected to 1.83(a). Not 1.81(a) as argued by ap
och secifi	"Drawings objected to 1.83 (a). Not 1.81(a) as argued by apstates that "drawings must show every feature of the invent of the chains a method.